Thermal and economic performance of extensive green roof during typical Lebanese winter and summer days
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Abstract
The main objective of this research is to determine and compare, using real-time monitoring, the thermal performance of a Traditional Gravel Ballasted Roof (TGBR) mockup and an Extensive Green Roof (EGR) mockup during typical Lebanese winter and summer days. For this purpose, temperature profile of a TGBR mockup was experimentally assessed and compared to the one of an EGR mockup using a 70 cm x 70 cm roof mockups installed on the rooftop of the Chemical Engineering Department at the University of Balamand in Lebanon. The internal temperature was measured for the different roof layers using a total of 7 cross-calibrated thermocouples while air temperature was monitored at 110 cm height using a waterproof temperature sensor. Temperature measurements were recorded every minute. Findings revealed that EGR protect the roof membrane from high temperature fluctuations due to thermal phenomena such as evapotranspiration and solar shading. Moreover, results confirmed that EGR mitigate the temperature fluctuations by 7.61% and 22.66% during typical Lebanese winter and summer days respectively. Outcomes of this study also verify the passive cooling effect of EGRs during hot summer days and during cold winter days. Therefore, EGRs are very efficient in countries with short winter season and long warm/sunny days such as Lebanon. From an economical perspective, EGRs are more cost effective than TGBRs (contributing to an approximate money saving of 73.72 USD during the winter season and 75.52 USD during the summer season).
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1 Introduction
Vegetative Roofs (VRs) are gaining popularity due to many benefits compared to Traditional Gravel Ballasted Roofs (TGBRs). In particular, from an energetic perspective, the use of VRs can be very efficient, especially in summertime when VRs could reduce temperature fluctuations through the direct shading of plant canopy and cool the ambient air by consuming solar heat gain for transpiration and photosynthesis processes [1]. Moreover, VRs have an aesthetic appeal [2] and can mitigate noise pollution [3]. There are two main types of VRs: Intensive Green Roof (IGR) with a substrate >20 cm and Extensive Green Roof (EGR) with a substrate <20 cm [4].

Several researches have been conducted on the temperature regime of VRs compared to TGBRs to prove that VRs protect the roof membrane from extreme temperature fluctuations [5, 6]. Jaffal et al. (2012) studied the energy performance of VRs compared to TGBRs in a temperate oceanic climate concluding that average indoor air temperature under TGBRs and VRs differed only by 1.5°C in a sunny winter day, and was the same (19.0°C) in a typical winter day [6]. To date, no study has yet explored in the literature the temperature profile of EGRs during typical Lebanese winter and summer days as suggested in this study.

VRs are recent technologies in Lebanon. So far, only a total of 5 VRs have been installed. VRs could be an interesting option for Lebanon, a country characterized by moderate temperatures. Such roofs could also be a plausible solution to the Lebanese energy crisis. In particular, the Lebanese electricity sector is facing major problems since the civil war (1975-1990) [7, 8]. The “Electricité du Liban” (EDL), a public institution under the control of the Ministry of Energy and Water, was and still is mandated the responsibility of the generation, transmission, and distribution of electrical energy in Lebanon [9]. Despite the major rehabilitation plan, blackouts are common all around the year in almost all the Lebanese cities [10].

This research, a first of its kind in the Middle East region, focuses on i) characterizing and analyzing the thermal performance of TGBR and EGR mockups during typical Lebanese winter and summer days and ii) stating the economical aspect of EGR in the Mediterranean context.
2 Material and methods

2.1 Description of the roof mockups

A TGBR and an EGR (with a substrate depth of 16 cm) roof mockups of square shape (70 cm x 70 cm) were installed on the rooftop of the Chemical Engineering Department at the University of Balamand, Lebanon (34°31’N, 35°50’E). TGBR and EGR mockups as well as their different layers are shown in Figure 1. The roofs constituents and their respective amount are the same as the ones used by El Bachawati et al. (2016) [11].

![Figure 1: (a) TGBR (b) EGR16 mockups and (c) different intermediate layers installed on the chemical engineering building](image)

The different mockups were not covered and were installed about 10 m above the ground level [11]. The internal temperature of TGBR and EGR16 mockups was measured for the different roof layers using a total of 7 cross-calibrated thermocouples from Mesurex-France (as shown in Figure 2), and recorded with a datalogger connected to multiplexer produced by Campbell Scientific-United States. Air temperature was monitored at 110 cm height using a waterproof temperature sensor ordered from Gemini Data Loggers-United Kingdom. Temperature measurements were recorded every minute.

![Figure 2: Location of the temperature sensors for: (a) TGBR and (b) EGR16 mockups](image)

2.2 Heating and Cooling Degree Day(s)

A Degree Day (DD) is a pointer of the domestic heating/cooling consumption for a specific period of time [12]. Heating Degree Days (HDDs) and Cooling Degree Days (CDDs) were calculated using hourly temperatures. During the winter season, when the temperature falls below the comfort level (base temperature) heating is needed; while during the summer season, when the temperature rises above the comfort level, cooling is needed. The base temperature was considered 18°C during the winter season and 21°C during the summer season [11]. Equations 1.a and 1.b were used to determine HDDs and CDDs and Equation 2 was used to assess the heating/cooling cost. The occupancy factor is assumed to be 70% in residential buildings and the price of 1 kWh of electricity is equal to 0.17 USD when provided by EDL and 0.32 USD when provided by private generators (PGs) [9, 13]. More to the point and despite the major rehabilitation plan for the Lebanese electricity sector since the civil war, electricity demand still exceeds electricity supply and blackouts can reach 13 hours per day in some cities. Therefore, Lebanese citizens still use back-up PG to ensure their electricity needs [9].

\[
HDD = T_{base} - T_A \\
CDD = T_A - T_{base}
\]

HDD = Heating Degree Day
CDD = Cooling Degree Day
T_{base} = Base temperature in Celsius degree (18°C for HDD and 21°C for CDD)
T_A = Average hourly temperature (°C).

Equation 1: Degree Day formulas: (a) Heating Degree Day (b) Cooling Degree Day [14]
\[ E = DD \times F \times P \]

E = Cost of electricity for heating or cooling (USD)
DD = Heating Degree Day or Cooling Degree Day
F = Occupancy effect factor (70%)
P = Price of electricity (EDL: US$ 0.17/kWh; PG: US$ 0.32/kWh)

Equation 2: Cost calculation using Degree Day

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Temperature profiles

3.1.1 Typical Lebanese winter day
In a typical Lebanese winter day, recorded temperatures on an hourly basis (Figure 3) were fluctuating between 6.43°C and 14.51°C for air (amplitude of 8.08°C), between 6.86°C and 16.94°C under the pebbles layer of the TGBR mockup (amplitude of 10.08°C), and between 8.78°C and 13.41°C under EGR16 substrate (amplitude of 4.63°C). Exceptionally, winter 2016 was warmer than usual without taking into consideration the intensity and frequency of rainfall events. A further look into the data, elaborates that the hourly temperature variation at 16 cm depth was lower than at 6 and 11 cm depths. Thus the passive cooling effect of VRs appears clearly even in a winter day. From another perspective, the bottom of the substrate layer was warmer than under the pebbles layer for the entire day especially during the night when the temperature under the pebbles layer follows the same trend of the air temperature (at 110cm height) and becomes noticeably lower that at 16 cm depth. The values in Figure 3 were slightly lower than the ones recorded by Bass and Baskaran (2003) in Canada, where the temperature fluctuated by 25°C for a TGBR membrane and by 4°C for a VR membrane on typical winter days without snow coverage [15].

Figure 3: Temperature measurements on a typical Lebanese winter day (Feb 6, 2016).

3.1.2 Typical Lebanese summer day
Figure 4 displays the recorded temperature at different substrate depths, under the pebbles layer of the TGBR mockup, and in the air during typical Lebanese summer day. The hourly temperature variation at 6, 11, and 16 cm depths were very similar during the whole day but lower than that at 110cm height. This could be explained by the fact that, at high temperature, the sun rays cross the substrate layer and reaches the bottom of the substrate layer. Moreover, the temperature under the pebbles layer was greater than that under the substrate layer. Therefore, once again the passive cooling effect of VRs appears clearly. As a result, the base roof membrane was protected from extensive temperature fluctuations which may cause serious damage if frequently occurring [3, 16]. The findings in this study were in agreement with the work of Bass and Baskaran (2003) and Teemusk and Mander (2009) [15, 17].

Figure 4: Temperature measurements on a typical Lebanese summer day (July 13, 2016).

3.2 Economic study
HDDs and CDDs were calculated based on hourly average temperatures. The outside temperature (T_A) for TGBR and EGR16 was calculated based on the temperature values under the substrate and the pebbles layers
respectively. For instance, the heat lost/gain through exterior doors, windows, and walls was neglected in this study. Table 1 indicates the HDD, the CDD, and their estimate cost for TGBR and EGR16 mockups for winter and summer seasons. For the winter season, HDD is 6.97 for TGBR mockup compared to 5.82 for EGR16 mockup while for the summer season, CDD is 7.03 for TGBR mockup compared to 5.59 for EGR16 mockup. As a result, EGR16 could save 0.24 US$/m²/day during winter season and 0.30 US$/m²/day during summer season compared to TGBR. From another perspective, El Bachawti et al. (2016) showed that for 45 years, TGBR and EGR16 cost 115.17 USD/m² and 70.36 USD/m² respectively [11].

The money savings during winter and summer seasons for TGBR and EGR16 mockups was elaborated based on the total cost (construction, maintenance, and energy) of each mockup. Generally, EGRs do not require maintenance therefore in this study the maintenance cost for EGR was assumed to be 0.25 US$/m²/month less than that of TGBR no matter what the initial maintenance cost is [18]. Table 2 displays the money savings for TGBR and EGR16 during winter and summer seasons.

For the winter season, the total cost of 200 m² TGBR and EGR16 roofing systems is 257.50 USD and 36.34 USD respectively; for the summer season, the total cost of 200 m² TGBR and EGR16 roofing systems is 258.62 USD and 32.07 USD respectively. As a result, in the Lebanese climate, an EGR16 could contribute to money savings of up to 73.72 USD during the winter season and 75.52 USD during the summer season.

### Table 1: HDD, CDD, and their estimate cost for TGBR and EGR16 mockups during winter and summer seasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winter season</th>
<th>Summer season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TGBR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDD</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E( _{HDD} )</td>
<td>$1.44</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E( _{CDD} )</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EGR16</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDD</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E( _{HDD} )</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E( _{CDD} )</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Money savings for EGR16 compared to TGBR during winter and summer seasons

|                  | Winter season |                  | Summer season |
|------------------|---------------|------------------|
|                  | TGBR (USD)    | EGR16 (USD)     | TGBR (USD)    | EGR16 (USD) |
| Total roof cost/1m²/45 years | 115.17        | 70.36            | 115.17        | 70.36        |
| Total roof cost/200m²/45 years | 23,034.00     | 14,072.00       | 23,034.00     | 14,072.00   |
| Total cost/200m²/season | 127.97        | 78.18            | 127.97        | 78.18        |
| Energy cost/200m²/1day | 1.44          | 1.20             | 1.45          | 1.15         |
| Energy cost/200m²/season | 129.54        | 108.16           | 130.65        | 103.89       |
| Reduction in maintenance cost/1m²/month | 0.00         | -0.25            | 0.00          | -0.25        |
| Reduction in maintenance cost/200m²/season | 0.00         | -150.00          | 0.00          | -150.00      |
| Total cost/200m²/season | 257.50        | 36.34            | 258.62        | 32.07        |
| Total cost/200m²/1month | 85.83        | 12.11            | 86.21          | 10.69        |
| Saving/200m²/1month | 73.72         | 75.52            |

### 4 Conclusion

During a typical Lebanese hot summer day (July 13, 2016), VRs verify the passive cooling effect by decreasing air temperature. This aspect makes VRs an effective solution for increasing the thermal comfort and dropping the cooling demand. Furthermore, VRs seem to be advantageous even in a typical Lebanese winter day (February 6, 2016), which has relatively moderate temperatures compared to Europe or North America. Therefore, the installation of VRs in a country like Lebanon could not only improve the aesthetic looks of buildings, but also help reduce the energy deficit all year round. From another perspective, VRs highly affect the heating/cooling demand of a residential building in the Lebanese climate leading to money savings of up to 73.72 USD during the winter season and 75.52 USD during the summer season.

Further work would target water management of VRs, air quality, and biodiversity of VRs in Mediterranean climate zones. A full cradle to grave Life Cycle Assessment of VRs might also give other insights.
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