

SOME ASPECTS AS REGARDS FLUID FLOW AND TURBULENCE MODELLING IN IC ENGINES

Zoran Jovanovic, ZoranMasonicic, M.Tomic, D. Nikolic

Abstract – In this paper some initial results concerning the evolution of flame propagation in 4-valve engines with tilted valves were presented. Results were obtained by dint of multidimensional modeling of reactive flows in arbitrary geometry with moving boundaries. The effect of turbulence model variation on flame propagation was tackled as well. Namely, some results obtained with eddy-viscosity model i.e. standard k- ϵ model were compared with results obtained with k- ξ -f model of turbulence in domain of 4-valve engine in-cylinder flow. Some interesting results emerged rendering impetus for further quest in the near future. In the case of combustion all differences ensuing from turbulence model variation, encountered in the case of non-reactive flow were annihilated entirely. Namely the interplay between fluid flow pattern and flame propagation is invariant as regards both turbulence models applied.

Keywords – Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), Automotive Flows, Turbulence Modelling

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known for a long time that various types of organized flows in combustion chamber of IC engines are of predominant importance for combustion particularly with regards to flame front shape and its propagation. Some results related to the isolated or synergic effect of squish and swirl on flame propagation in various combustion chamber layouts are already analyzed and published [1] but results concerning the isolated or combined effect of the third type of organized flow i.e. tumble are relatively less presented [2]. From the theory of turbulence is known that vortex filament subjected to compression reduces its length and promotes rotation around its axis yielding the movement on the larger scale (“spin-up” effect). It can be presumed that tumble pursues the same rule i.e. the destruction of formed and expressive tumble during compression stroke generates the higher turbulence intensity and larger integral length scale of turbulence in the vicinity of TDC contributing to the flame kernel formation period reduction and faster flame propagation thereafter. The aforementioned logic imposes the conclusion that the most beneficial fluid flow pattern in the vicinity of BDC is well shaped high intensity tumble.

Zoran Jovanovic
Institute of Nuclear Science Vinca, University of
Belgrade
Zoran Masonicic
Institute of Nuclear Science Vinca, University of
Belgrade

II. MODEL AND COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

The analysis of this type is inherent to multidimensional numerical modeling of reactive fluid flow and therefore it is quite logical to apply such a technique particularly due to fact that it is the only technique that encompasses the valve/port geometry layout in an explicit manner. In lieu of the fact that, in its essence, multidimensional models require initial and boundary conditions only their applications is fairly complicated and imply some assumptions and simplifications [3]. The full 3D conservation integral form of unsteady equations governing turbulent motion of non-reactive mixture of ideal gas is solved on fine computational grid with moving boundaries in physical domain by dint of AVL FIRE code [4]. In this case the numerical solution method is based on a fully conservative finite volume approach. For the solution of a recast linear system of equations, a conjugate gradient type of solver (CGS) is used. Two different model of turbulence were used. The first one is nearly forty years old k- ϵ model based on Boussinesq’s assumption which is certainly the most widely used model for engineering computations. The second one is relatively recent k- ξ -f model of turbulence i.e. eddy-viscosity model based on Durbin’s elliptic relaxation concept [5, 6, 7]. This model solves a transport equation for the velocity scale ratio ξ instead of imaginary turbulent normal stress component. In addition, the pertinent hybrid boundary conditions were applied.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of fluid flow pattern during induction and compression was based on a fairly complicated geometry layout presented in figures 1 and 2. Obviously, combustion chamber is constrained with dual intake and exhaust valves. The basic block data sheet consists of bore/stroke ratio = 80/81.4mm, squish gap=1.19 mm, engine speed RPM = 5500 min⁻¹ and mixture quality $\lambda=1$. It should be stated that maximum valve lift is 6.95mm for intake valves and 6.63 mm for exhaust valves while the other geometrical data (relative location, valve shape etc.) could be seen in fig.1 and 2.




Figure 1. Perspective view of the combustion chamber geometry layout with 4-valves (upper view)




Figure 2. Perspective view of the combustion chamber geometry layout with 4-valves (bottom view)

The effects of turbulence model variation on the evolution of fluid flow pattern and spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in 4-valve engine were presented in figs.3-14 below. Namely, figs. 3, 6, 9 and 12 are related to standard $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence while figs. 4, 7, 10 and 13 are related to $k-\xi-f$ model of turbulence. Due to symmetry in $x-y$ plane results for both model of turbulence were presented in the same figure (figs. 5, 8, 11 and 14). In order to alleviate comparisons of fluid flow patterns particularly in the case of subtle differences colors were employed as well.




Figure 3. Fluid flow pattern in $x-z$ plane, $y=\text{const.}$ at 340 deg. ATDC, $k-\epsilon$



Figure 4. Fluid flow pattern in $x-z$ plane, $y=\text{const.}$ at 340 deg. ATDC, $k-\xi-f$

During induction and large portion of compression stroke (up to 270 deg. ATDC) no legible differences as regards the evolution of fluid flow pattern and spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence were observed and therefore not presented due to economy of the paper. The significant differences are commencing in the vicinity of TDC. Namely, the fluid flow pattern and less intensive colors in figs. 4,7, 5 (right) and 8(right) than in figs. 3,6, 5(left) and 8(left) indicate less expressive vortex flow and generally smaller velocities in the case of $k-\xi-f$ model of turbulence yielding somehow the detention of vortex displacement to the exhaust valve zone thereafter. Larger velocities in the case of $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence are encountered in $x-y$ plane as well (figs. 5 and 8, left).




Figure 5. Fluid flow pattern in $x-y$ plane, $z=\text{const.}$ at 345 deg. ATDC, $k-\epsilon$ (left) and $k-\xi-f$ (right)




Figure 6. Fluid flow pattern in $x-z$ plane, $y=\text{const.}$ at 360 deg. ATDC, $k-\epsilon$



Figure 7. Fluid flow pattern in $x-z$ plane, $y=\text{const.}$ at 360 deg. ATDC, $k-\xi-f$




Figure 8. Fluid flow pattern in $x-y$ plane, $z=\text{const.}$ at 360 deg. ATDC, $k-\epsilon$ (left) and $k-\xi-f$ (right)

Differences in fluid flow patterns are pursued in a straightforward fashion by certain differences in turbulence intensity and spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in all planes. It can be seen that in the case of $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence the maximum kinetic energy of turbulence is located in the central part of the chamber (figs. 9 and 12) while in the case of $k-\xi-f$ model of turbulence the maximum kinetic energy of turbulence is shifted to the intake valve zone (figs. 10 and 13). In addition, in the case of $k-\epsilon$, high values of kinetic energy of turbulence prevail and engulf nearly the entire chamber (figs. 11 and 14, left) while in the case of $k-\xi-f$ these zones are obviously smaller and akin to characteristic bean-like form (figs. 11 and particularly 14, right). Such behavior could largely affect all other in-cylinder processes that incur such as mixing, combustion and etc. In general $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence generates higher values of kinetic energy of turbulence over the broader part of the chamber. Namely, $k-\epsilon$ overpredicts its value.




Figure 9. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-z plane, y=const. at 340 deg. ATDC, k-ε




Figure 10. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-z plane, y=const. at 340 deg. ATDC, k-ξ-f




Figure 11. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-y plane, z=const. at 340 deg. ATDC, k-ε (left) and k-ξ-f(right)




Figure 12. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-z plane, y=const. at 360 deg. ATDC, k-ε




Figure 13. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-z plane, y=const. at 360 deg. ATDC, k-ξ-f




Figure 14. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in x-y plane, z=const. at 360 deg. ATDC, k-ε (left) and k-ξ-f(right)

The fairly interesting results were obtained in the case of combustion that was tackled as well. Namely, combustion was modeled in an eclectic, theoretically and experimentally validated eddy-breakup model [9, 10]. In the case of combustion all the subtleties as regards fluid flow pattern and spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence due to turbulence model alteration, observed in figs. 3-14, were annihilated entirely. The fluid flow pattern and flame propagation (represented as iso-contours of temperatures) in various cut planes, for two different models of turbulence (k-ε and k-ξ-f) were presented in figs. 15-22, below.



Figure 15. Fluid flow pattern in x-z plane, y=const. (0.0) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ε




Figure 16. Fluid flow pattern in x-z plane, y=const. (0.0) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ξ-f




Figure 17. Spatial distribut. of temperature in x-z plane, y=const. (0.0) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ε




Figure 18. Spatial distribution of temperature kinetic in x-z plane, y=const. (0.0) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ξ-f




Figure 19. Fluid flow pattern in x-y plane, z=const. (mid-height of the wedge chamber) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ε (left) and k-ξ-f (right)




Figure 20. Spatial distribution of temperature in x-y plane, z=const. (mid-height of the wedge chamber) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ε (left) and k-ξ-f (right)




Figure 21. Fluid flow pattern in x-y plane, z=const. (squish zone) at 355 deg. ATDC, k-ε (left) and k-ξ-f (right)




Figure 22. Spatial distribution of temperature in x-y plane, $z=\text{const.}$ (squish zone) at 355 deg. ATDC, $k-\epsilon$ (left) and $k-\xi-f$ (right)

It can be seen, in figs. 15, 16, 19 and 21 that, in the case of combustion, no clear difference in fluid flow pattern is observed. Namely, the well-known “flame dominated fluid flow pattern” is encountered characterized with higher velocities in front of the flame front and relaminarization behind the flame front (figs. 15, 16, 19 and 21). This is legible in all cut planes considered. In comparison with corresponding fluid flow pattern in no combustion case (figs. 6, 7 and 8) clear differences are indicated. The main reason for the relaminarization of the fluid flow behind the flame front lies in the fact that flame propagation through un-burnt mixture, entire of itself, accelerates the hot gas in front of the flame front. In the case of shear the production of turbulence increases with the effect of flame acceleration thereafter. In the compressed zone in front of the flame front the divergence of the mean velocity is negative yielding the generation of turbulence as well. In addition the sign and the magnitude of the density gradient within the flame affect the diffusion of turbulence. Referring to the energy conservation equation one can find the maximum enthalpy in the zone of minimal density, i.e. behind the flame front so these higher temperatures cause the intensive increase of viscosity with the consequential increase of Re_t -number, the increase of viscous dissipation of turbulence and shifting of the velocity fluctuations to the low frequency part of spectrum. In the heat release zone the dilatation of turbulence reduces the turbulent kinetic energy yielding fairly legible soothing or attenuation (relaminarization) of the fluid flow. It can be seen, in figs. 17, 18, 20 and 22, that in a particular combustion chamber geometry layout, flame propagation as regards its velocity and its flame front shape is entirely invariant vis-à-vis alteration of $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence to $k-\xi-f$ model of turbulence.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The fluid flow pattern during induction and compression in the particular combustion chamber geometry of 4-valve engine is extremely complex and entirely three-dimensional. The modeling of turbulence strongly affects the evolution of fluid flow pattern and spatial distribution of kinetic energy of turbulence in 4-valve engines. In general $k-\epsilon$ model of turbulence generates higher values of kinetic energy of turbulence over the broader part of the chamber than corresponding $k-\xi-f$ model of turbulence. In the case of combustion all differences ensuing from turbulence model variation, encountered in the case of non-reactive fluid flow were annihilated entirely. Namely the interplay between fluid flow pattern encountered (“flame dominated fluid flow”) and flame propagation

is invariant as regards both turbulence models applied. On the contrary, such a conclusion is not valid either in the case of “squish dominated flows” or in the case of “coincident flow”.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Jovanovic, S. Petrovic “The mutual interaction between squish and swirl in IC Engines“, (1997) *Mobility and Vehicle Mechanics* 23, 3, 72-86
- [2] Z. Jovanovic, S. Petrovic, M. Tomic “The effect of combustion chamber geometry layout on combustion and emission” (2008) *Thermal Science* vol.12, No.1, pp. 7-24
- [3] Z. Jovanovic “The role of tensor calculus in numerical modeling of combustion in IC engines” *Computer Simulation in Fluid Flow, Heat and Mass Transfer and Combustion in Reciprocating Engines*, Hemisphere Publishers (1989) 457-542, ISBN 0-89116-392-1
- [4] [4] CFD Solver, AVL FIRE 2009.1
- [5] [5]P. A. Durbin „Near wall turbulence closure modeling without damping functions“, *Theoretical Computational Fluid Dynamics* (1991) 3 1-13
- [6] [6] M. Popovac, K. Hanjalic „Compound wall treatment for RANS computation of complex turbulent flows and heat transfer“, *Flow, Turbulence, Combustion* (2007) 78:177-202
- [7] [7] K. Hanjalic, M. Popovac, M. Hadjiabdic „A robust near-wall elliptic relaxation eddy viscosity turbulence model for CFD“, *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 25(2004) 1047-1051