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Abstract—Supply Chain Management (SCM) has been widely researched in numerous application domains during the last two decades. Despite the popularity of SCM research and applications, considerable confusion remains as to its meaning. There are several attempts made by researchers and practitioners to appropriately define SCM, particularly for the service industries. This paper represents theory and evolution of Supply Chain Management as well as highlights chronological prospective of SCM in terms of time frame in different areas of manufacturing and service industries. Basic supply chain, from raw material to finished products, for manufacturing and service industries has been illustrated, including various intermediate parties/organizations. SCM in fishing industry as well as SCM in JMI Group are illustrated. Finally, this study demonstrates Integrated Tertiary Educational Supply Chain Management (ITESCM) model as the application of SCM in the service industry, which would unlock other applications of SCM in different arenas, particularly service industries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Supply chain is defined as an arrangement of enterprises that are engaged, through upstream and downstream links, in the numerous methods and actions that generate value in the form of products and services to the final customer [29]. Fig. 1 shows an example of a basic supply chain for manufacturing industry.

![Figure 1. The Basic Supply Chain of manufacturing industry](image)

An example of a basic supply chain for service industry is shown in Fig. 2.

![Figure 2. The Basic Supply Chain of service industry](image)

Suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, retailers, customers and suppliers, service providers, customers, consumers respectively are contained in the supply chain of manufacturing and service industries. The customers are the hub of the chain as the key purpose of the existence of any supply chain is to fulfill customer necessities. It is creating profit for itself through the process [29].

SCM is necessary for several causes: refining operations, superior outsourcing, growing profits, increasing customer contentment, creating quality products, deal with competitive stresses, growing globalization, growing importance of E-commerce, and rising complexity of supply chains [29]. It is relatively easy to define the supply chain of manufacturing industry. In this context each participant in the chain receives inputs from a set of suppliers, processes those inputs, and delivers them to a different set of customers. Business organization is assisted by SCM to contest in the dynamic international market. Integrating activities across and within organizations for providing the customer value is the objective of SCM.

Researchers usually focused on Supply chain management (SCM) issues in profit organizations during last two decades. Research objectives may include adding value, reducing cost, or slashing response time in various parties involved in the manufacturing supply chain. However, very few studies were attempted in non-profit organizations, i.e. service industries. An extremely scarce number of research papers focused on SCM in the academia [17], [25].

Ref. [17] states that a profit organization attempts to maximize profits, whereas a non-profit organization considers monetary returns of less importance. Their major objectives may include improved literacy rate, better quality of life, equal opportunities for all genders or races, etc. The revenues gained by a non-profit organization would be used primarily to balance the expenditure of the organization. Due to conflicting objectives, managing a successful profit organization may be drastically different from a non-profit organization [25]. Recently, an increasingly large number of research studies highlight the criticalness of SCM as a means to assuring organizational success.

SCM assists the business organization to compete in the dynamic international market. The objective of SCM is to incorporate activities across and within organizations for providing the customer value. This should also be applicable to the academia, which represents a type of non-profit organizations. The goal is to provide the society value by producing high quality graduates and research outcomes. An integrated educational supply chain involves coordination and
information sharing up and down the process among all stakeholders. With technology facilitating information flow, a coordinated supply chain can be designed to meet the strategic, planning, and operating objectives of the educational institutions. It also means establishing effective and feasible relationships both inside and outside the organization [8].

SCM is needed for various reasons: improving operations, better outsourcing, increasing profits, enhancing customer satisfaction, generating quality outcomes, tackling competitive pressures, increasing globalization, increasing importance of E-commerce, and growing complexity of supply chains. Supply chains are relatively easy to define for manufacturing industries, where each participant in the chain receives inputs from a set of suppliers, processes those inputs, and delivers them to a different set of customers. With educational institutions, one of the primary suppliers of process inputs is customers themselves, who provide their bodies, minds, belongings, or knowledge as inputs to the service processes [8], [17], [24].

II. EVOLUTION OF SCM

The supply chain literature review was conducted to study the past researches. Before the 1950s, logistics was thought of in military terms. It had to do with procurement, maintenance, and transportation of military facilities, materials, and personnel. The study and practice of physical distribution and logistics emerged in the 1960s and 1970s [8], [17], [25].

The logistics era prior to 1950 has been characterized as the “dormant years,” when logistics was not considered a strategic function. Around 1950 changes occurred that could be classified as a first “Transformation.” The importance of logistics increased considerably, when physical distribution management in manufacturing firms was recognized as a separate organizational function. The SCM concept was coined in the early 1980s by consultants in logistics [8]. The authors emphasized that the supply chain must have been viewed as a single entity and that strategic decision-making at the top level was needed to manage the chain in their original formulation. This perspective is shared with logistics as well as channel theorists in marketing [17].

SCM has become one of the most popular concepts within management in general since its introduction in the early 1980s [17]. A number of journals in manufacturing, distribution, marketing, customer management, transportation, integration, etc. published articles on SCM or SCM-related topics. The evolution of SCM continued into the 1990s due to the intense global competition [25].

Ref. [8] went as far as claiming there was a paradigm shift within the management literature: “One of the most significant changes in paradigm of modern business management is that individual businesses no longer compete as solely autonomous entities, but rather as supply chains. Business management has entered the era of inter-network competition and the ultimate success of a single business will depend on management’s ability to integrate the company’s intricate network of business relationships.”

Ref. [17] adopted SCM in the National Health Service. In fact, it was the first paper of SCM in the service industry. Sampson (2000) explored the customer supplier duality in the service organizations as it pertained to SCM in the service industry. Kathawala and Abdou (2003) explored supply chain application to the service industry. O’Brien and Kenneth (1996) proposed an educational supply chain as a tool for strategic planning in tertiary education. The study was based on a survey among employers and students.

Survey findings revealed that integration and coordination among students and employers should have been promoted. Cigolini et al. (2004) explored a framework for SCM based on several service industries including automobile, grocery, computers, book publishing etc. According to the case study conducted at the City University of Hong Kong, Lau (2007) defined educational supply chain as the ‘Student’ and the ‘Research’ supply chain.

Ref. [17] represents the first large scale empirical study that systematically investigate input of the university, output of the university through educational SCM. This exploratory research addresses the education supply chain, the research supply chain, and educational management as major constituents in an Integrated Tertiary Educational Supply Chain Management (ITESCM) model. Its applicability was successfully verified and validated through survey data from leading tertiary educational institutions around the world. Redesigned ITESCM Model was developed in 2012 which is more users friendly [21], [24]. The emergence and evolution of SCM may be depicted as a timeline shown in Figure 1.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The analysis of this paper is based on both primary and secondary data. First part of this paper, evolution of SCM evolved Secondary data sources, particularly online databases, books, journals, conference papers, etc. On the other hand, 2nd part of this paper furnished based on the analysis of literature, past theoretical frameworks, interviews with stakeholders. ITESCM model constructs were identified and confirmed by 493 respondents, representing university administrators, faculty and staffs, employers, and graduates. Its applicability was successfully verified and validated through survey data from leading tertiary educational institutions around the world.

IV. SCM PRACTICES

4.1 SCM in the Academia:

Supply chain management practice on the service industry has been depicted in this paper. ITESCM model denotes supply chain management for the universities which represents one of the service industries. One of the main goals of an educational supply chain is to improve the well-being of the end customer or the society. To achieve this goal, educational institutions need to have a certain degree of knowledge about the partners in their supply chains including suppliers, customers, and the society. The performance of the supply chain management depends on the seamless coordination of all supply chain stakeholders to ensure attainment of desirable outcomes.

The ITESCM, which stands for Integrated Tertiary Educational Supply Chain Management, model represents supply chain management for the academia [10], [15], [17], [20]. This model depicts the integrated form of educational supply chain and educational management for the universities. Educational supply chain also consists of education supply chain and research supply chain. This paper is the revised
version of ITESCM model, which represents academic supply chain management for the universities.

In academic supply chain management, raw materials are students as well as internal and external projects. Finished products are graduates and research outcomes [8], [11], [9]. Suppliers, supplied inputs, the service provider, customers, supplied outputs, and the consumer have been identified in the integrated supply chain for the universities. Fig. 2 illustrates an education supply chain and a research supply chain, which together form the integrated supply chain for the universities [11],[12].

![Fig. 3 An Integrated Supply Chain for the Universities](image)

In this paper, authors intend to redesign ITESCM model that is the revised form of original ITESCM. That model would be easily explicable and research equations are friendly for users who intend to apply in practical field of tertiary educational institutions. Fig. 4 illustrates redesigned ITESCM model.

**Different Factors in the Universities**

According to the concept of three decision levels, including strategic, planning and operating, in SCM, this concept would be adopted for the higher educational institutions [24]. To accomplish proper teaching and research works in the universities; different factors have to need analyzed. Four factors, namely faculty capabilities, facilities, programs establishment, university culture [8], [9], [10], [13], [14] will be illustrated in this section.

**Programs Establishment (PE):** Programs establishment would be occurred for the education and research in terms of development and assessment in the universities. Universities design different programs, to enhance the diversification in education development and establish various programs to assess the development. Universities also intend different programs to increase the diversification in research development and research assessment. Universities have to attempt product differentiation, i.e. programs establishment. Hands-on experience, industrial placements, social demand, provision of IT facilities, and innovative academic methods all demonstrate attempts to differentiate programs establishment [8], [9].

**Faculty Capabilities (FC):** Faculty members establish good communication, provide rich environment for classroom observation, model best practices, create opportunities for reflection, and support students’ participation in curriculum planning, teaching and research. Traditionally, university faculty members are evaluated according to the three major criteria: teaching, research, and services [12], [8], [9].

**University Culture (UC):** The concept of organizational culture would be applicable for the universities by the name of University Culture. However, the type of the university culture will fully depend on the university management or administrator. In fact, university culture is the personality of the university [9], [15].

**Facilities (FA):** Universities offer a wide range of modern facilities to their students. These include state of the art lecture halls, libraries, laboratories and IT services to ensure that students are provided with an environment in which they can learn, both successfully and comfortably. Lecture rooms are principally conducted using state-of-the-art distance learning technology, online education, e-learning via Internet. Online databases, e-journal, digital library, etc. represents modern research facilities in the universities [8], [11].

**4.2 SCM in the Fishing Industry [30]**

**4.2.1 Supply Chain of Rohu, Telapia, Katla, Pangas:**

Three major supply chains are identified for pangas, katla, rui, tilapia:

- **Supply Chain 1:** Fish farmer-Nikari-Paiker-Aratdar-Paiker-Retailer-Consumer.
- **Supply Chain 2:** Fish farmer-Aratdar-Paiker-Retailer-Consumer
- **Supply Chain 3:** Fish Farmer- Nikari- Aratdar-Paiker-Retailer-Consumer

Fig. 5 illustrates the supply chain of distribution of pangas, rohu, catla, tilapia in Bangladesh.
4.8.2 Supply Chain of Hilsha:

Major supply chains of Hilsha are as follows:

Supply Chain 1: Fish farmer- Aratdar-Paiker - Retailer-Consumer (Distant Market)

Supply Chain 2: Fish Farmer-Aratdar-Paiker- Retailer-Consumer (Local Market)

Supply Chain 3: Fish Farmer-Aratdar-Retailer- Consumer (Local Market)

Supply Chain 4: Fish Farmer-Aratdar-LC Paiker- Consumer (Overseas Market)

Faria (informer) is involved in different stages at the distribution network and there are different aratdars and paikers are also involved. Fig. 7 illustrates the supply chain of Hilsha in Bangladesh.

4.3 SCM IN JMI Group [29]

JMI Group, one of the prominent and diversified universal corporations in Bangladesh, was established in April 1999 and comprised of 12 subsidiary enterprises covering both manufacturing and service industries.

4.3.1 JMI Syringes and Medical devices Ltd (particular model)

Fig. 7 represents a particular model of Supply Chain of JMI Syringes & Medical Devices in terms of suppliers, process, customers and end user.

Figure 5. Supply Chains of Pangas, rohu, Catla, Tilapia in Bangladesh

Figure 6. Supply Chain of Hilsha in Bangladesh

Figure 7. Particular Model of Supply Chain of JMI Syringes & Medical devices Ltd

Internal suppliers (JMI Hospital Requisite & Manufacturing Ltd, JMI Printing & Packaging Ltd), external suppliers (local market – raw materials, packaging materials, chemicals and other materials, international markets – raw materials, chemicals and other materials), internal customers (Nipro JMI Dialysis Centre Ltd, Nipro JMI Pharma Ltd), external customers (local market – pharmaceutical industries, government agencies, distributors, retailers), international market (export in different countries) and patient are recognized. JMI Syringes & Medical Devices Ltd is processing as manufacturer.
4.3.2 JMI Hospital Requisite & Manufacturing Ltd

A particular model of Supply Chain of JMI Hospital Requisite & Manufacturing Ltd in terms of suppliers, process, customers and end user are revealed in fig. 8. It is to be observed that Supply Chain of JMI Hospital Requisite & Manufacturing Ltd has both types of process functions i.e. manufacturer (factory) and service provider. Internal suppliers (JMI Syringes & Medical Devices Ltd, JMI Printing & Packaging Ltd), external suppliers (local market – raw materials, packaging materials, chemicals and other materials, international markets – raw materials other materials), internal customers (JMI Syringes & Medical Devices Ltd, Nipro JMI Company Ltd), external customers (local market – distributors, retailers, international markets – export to different countries) and patient are acknowledged for manufacturing process.

**Figure 8. Particular Model of Supply Chain of JMI Hospital Requisite & Manufacturing Ltd**

On the other hand, external suppliers (local market – raw materials, chemicals and other materials, international markets – raw materials other materials), internal customers (all sister concerns of JMI Group), external customers (local market – distributors, retailers) and consumer are found as a service provider.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper encompasses the evolution of SCM as the latest innovations in the field of management in terms of time frame for the manufacturing and service industries. In addition, this research represents the first large scale empirical study that systematically investigate input, output and process of the tertiary academic institutions through redesigned ITESCM model. This empirical study based on 493 respondents from all stakeholders, including experts and administrators, faculty members and staffs of the university, employers, graduates, etc. The hypothesis testing and SEM technique through AMOS were also applied.

This paper proposes the model of academic supply chain management for the tertiary educational institutions. This model links educational management with general business management. From a managerial point of view, this research provides a novel approach to developing and assessing supply chain management application in the academia, which represents a service industry.

This paper also demonstrates Fishing Industry supply chain model as well as JMI Group supply chain model in order to highlight the implications of SCM at various manufacturing and service industries.
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