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Abstract—Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) has been widely used in time series domain as a distance function for similarity search. Several works have utilized DTW to improve the classification accuracy as it can deal with local time shifting in time series data by non-linear warping. However, some types of time series data do have several segments that one segment should not be compared to others even though DTW can naturally warp across those segments. In this paper, we propose PartialDTW distance measure that utilizes domain knowledge about special characteristics of different sections of the data to limit the warping path. The experiment shows that our PartialDTW has much better performance when compare with other well known algorithms.
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I. Introduction

Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) is a powerful similarity measure for time series data that is widely used in many applications such as electrocardiogram (ECG) classification, financial prediction and biological classification [1-6]. DTW allows non-linear alignment so the optimal warping path between two time series can be found. This warping path can represent the corresponding regions and the similarity (or dissimilarity) between two time series [4]. The drawbacks of DTW include its $O(n^2)$ time complexity and possible invalid warping path from cross-segment alignment. Although DTW can exploit global constraints to limit warping path and somewhat decrease computation time, it is still problematic in some domains. DTW with global constraint only concerns about how much warping each individual data point is allowed to warp away. However, in some domains where each time series sequence is composed of two or more segments, only the data within the same segment is allowed to align to each other. For example, a typical DTW in ECG classification [3][5] cannot obtain the ideal warping path because a P-wave segment in one ECG could be aligned to a QRS-complex segment in another ECG, as shown in Fig.1. This is undeniably meaningless in clinical diagnosis since different portions of the ECG signal represent different activities of the heart.

Therefore, our main objective is to develop a PartialDTW distance measure that prevents unreasonable warpings by allowing only data points of the two time series sequences that belong to the same segments to be warped together. Our PartialDTW uses prior knowledge about the data to complete a segmentation phase before the DTW distance calculation, which is performed partially according to the segments determined in the previous step.

![Figure 1. An example of an unreasonable DTW alignment between two ECG subsequences Q and C. P-wave of a sequence Q is aligned to QRS-complex portion of a sequence C.](image)

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section explains the DTW methodology and some related works. Section 3 provides details of our proposed PartialDTW. Section 4 provides the experiment setup details, evaluation, results, and discussion. The last section provides conclusion.

II. Related Work and Background

A. Related works

Dynamic time warping (DTW) is a similarity measure for time series data that is widely used in many applications. However, some data do contain two or more segments that should not be warped crossing segments to each other. Besides, a typical DTW has no mechanism to detect nor to separate segments and sometime mistakenly warp across them. For example, a single beat of normal ECG data consists of a P-wave, a QRS-complex, and a T-wave. Using a classic DTW to align two ECG sequences, there are many chances that a portion of P-wave will be warped to a portion of QRS-complex or a portion of QRS-complex will be warped to a portion of T-wave, which is considered illogical in clinical practice.

There are some works that use DTW on ECG data [1][3][5] or other data that consist of many segments such as gun point [7]. However, DTW in numerous cases does warp across segments, which affects the classification accuracies. To improve the accuracy of classification, this work proposes a method called PartialDTW that performs a sequence segmentation and then utilizes the segment information to perform DTW alignment within the same segment of the two sequences.
B. Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)

Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) is one of the widely used similarity measures for time series data. DTW uses dynamic programming techniques to find optimal warping path. DTW can compare time series data with different lengths and handle non-linear alignments or local time shiftings [8]. Consequently, DTW is more suitable for time series domain than a basic method for similarity measures such as Euclidian distance.

Given two time series sequences, a sequence \( Q \) of length \( n \) and a sequence \( C \) of length \( m \), as follows:

\[
Q = q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n \quad (1)
\]

\[
C = c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m \quad (2)
\]

A matrix \( n \)-by-\( m \) is created to hold cumulative distances between any pair of data point in the sequences \( Q \) and \( C \). The cumulative distance \( Y(i, j) \) is calculated from a sum of the distance in a current cell and the minimum of the cumulative distance of the three adjacent elements as follows:

\[
Y(i, j) = d(q_i, c_j) + \min\{Y(i-1, j-1), Y(i-1, j), Y(i, j-1)\} \quad (3)
\]

where

\[
d(q_i, c_j) = d(q_i, c_j)^2 \quad (4)
\]

An optimal warping path is a path with the lowest warping cost from cumulative matrix as follows:

\[
W = w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k \quad (5)
\]

where

\[
w_1 = (1,1) \quad \text{and} \quad w_k = (m,n) \quad (6)
\]

Finally, the DTW distance values can be calculated as follows:

\[
DTW(Q, C) = \min \left\{ \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i} \right\} \quad (7)
\]

where \( w_i \) is the element \((i,j)_k\) of the matrix and also belongs to \( k \)-th element of a warping path \( W \).

For example, given a subsequence \( A = [3,1,2,3,2,4,5,2] \) and a subsequence \( B = [2,1,3,2,1,4,2,3,5] \) their corresponding cumulative distance matrix is shown in Fig. 2 (a). An optimal warping path is shown in grey. The DTW distance is calculated as in (7). The illustration of subsequences \( A \) and \( B \) and their corresponding warping path is shown in Fig. 2 (b).

![Figure 2](image)

III. Proposed Work

Our PartialDTW framework consists of three major steps: segmentation, DTW distance calculation, and classification. An overview of our proposed work is shown in Fig. 3.

![Figure 3](image)

A. Segmentation

We segment the whole time series of length \( n \) into \( k \) segments according to its characteristics. Other time series sequences to be compared must also have the same number of \( k \) segments. However, each segment may have different lengths, and the number of data points within each segment may also vary across different sequences. This segmentation step is a crucial step in PartialDTW because we will need the domain knowledge to be able to segment the data properly.
B. Partial Dynamic Time Warping (PartialDTW)

Traditional DTW measures the distance between two time series sequences, by taking the whole time series into the cumulative distance calculation, as described in section II. However, in PartialDTW, we use domain knowledge to partition each time series sequence into k segments before performing k individual DTW calculations.

The idea behind PartialDTW is similar to the sliding window approach in [9][10], but instead of using the sliding window to find suitable subsequence for partial alignment, we use the domain knowledge to mark the partitions, which is evidently more accurate. PartialDTW then calculates DTW distance separately within each individual partition before combining the distances from all k partitions through the distance collector.

C. Classification

In this step, we employ the 1-Nearest Neighbor (1-NN) classifier, which is simple and widely used in time series classification tasks [11][12]. The 1-NN classification algorithm first determines the most similar candidate sequence to the query sequence and returns the class label as being the same class as that of the most similar candidate sequence. As shown in Fig. 4, if we want to obtain a class for the query sequence Q, we first calculate the distance between the query sequence Q and each candidate sequences 1, 2, 3, and 4. After that, the most similar candidate sequence to the query sequence which is indicated by the smallest distance will be obtained. Finally, the class A of sequence 2 is assigned to sequence Q.

iv. Experiments

In this section, we use 5 datasets to compare the accuracies using 1-NN with DTW distance and other well known classification algorithms including Naive Bayes (NB), Bayesian Network (BN), Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) and Support Vector Machine (SVM). The rival classification algorithms were run on the latest stable version of Weka (v. 3.6.12) [13]. We also report the speedup of PartialDTW over the traditional DTW by comparing their computation time.

Table I. Details of 5 datasets used in our experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of classes</th>
<th>Size of training set</th>
<th>Size of test set</th>
<th>Time series Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun-Point</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Data Segmentation

We segment the time series in each dataset using its domain knowledge. For example, in ECG data, following the expert’s annotation, an ECG morphology consists of 5 partitions, segmented by a PQRST element; a P-wave appears before an R-Peak, followed by a minimum down peak called an S-peak, and ended with a T-wave as shown in Fig. 5. For the Gun-Point dataset, we segment the data into 3 parts indicating 1) the gun grasping (if any), 2) hand raising up to perform a pointing/shooting act followed by a hand lowering gesture, and 3) putting the gun back to the holster (if any) [7].

Figure 5. A morphology annotation in two beats of ECG data illustrating that a P-wave always appears first, followed by a QRS-complex, and ended with a T-wave in each beat.

B. Experiment Results and Discussion

We compare the performance of each algorithm by the classification accuracy. The results are shown in Table II, comparing our proposed PartialDTW among 5 other well-known classifiers, 1-NN with DTW, Naive Bayes, Bayesian Network, Multilayer Perceptron, and SVM. Our PartialDTW clearly outperforms all other algorithms by large margins. This is mainly because PartialDTW does exploit the domain knowledge in the segmentation step to make the data more compatible during the alignment.
Table II. Classification accuracy (%) for all five datasets using DTW, PartialDTW, Naïve Bayes, Bayesian Network, MLP and SVM. Bold figures denote the winning algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>1-NN DTW</th>
<th>1-NN Partial DTW</th>
<th>Naïve Bayes</th>
<th>Bayesian Network</th>
<th>MLP</th>
<th>SVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gunpoint</td>
<td>90.60</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>78.67</td>
<td>85.33</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>76.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART01</td>
<td>70.83</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>70.83</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART02</td>
<td>82.73</td>
<td>98.81</td>
<td>82.74</td>
<td>78.57</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCART03</td>
<td>78.67</td>
<td>98.67</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>85.33</td>
<td>90.67</td>
<td>85.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specifically, PartialDTW separates the P-wave from the QRS-complex and the T-wave, such that when it looks for its nearest neighbor, it maps P-wave to only P-wave, QRS-complex to only QRS-complex, and T-wave to only T-wave, as illustrated in Fig. 6; no warping is allowed crossing different segments. On the contrary, in classic DTW, the first peak of an ECG sequence Q is an onset of a P-wave but is contaminated by noise and hence is warped to align with a part in the QRS-complex instead of a P-wave of another ECG sequence C.

We also compare the running time between DTW and PartialDTW, as shown in Fig. 7. INCART02 has the largest running time due to its longer sequence and larger test set. The speedup of PartialDTW is calculated by dividing the overall running time of DTW by the running time of PartialDTW, and is shown in Table III.

Figure 6. Time comparison between our proposed PartialDTW algorithm and DTW.

Figure 7. Comparison between classic DTW (top) and PartialDTW (bottom) for one beat of an ECG sequence. Our PartialDTW segments each beat of the ECG sequence into P-wave, QRS-Complex, and T-wave before warping, hence giving a more meaningful alignment and better accuracy.
For speedup, we can see that PartialDTW achieves large speedups in all datasets, especially in the last three datasets. This is because in the segmentation stage, the TP segment is discarded from the calculation according to the cardiologist’s clinical diagnosis approach, decreasing the overall sequence’s length by 25-35%, whereas the whole cardiac cycle length is used in traditional DTW.

v. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose the PartialDTW framework which is more robust than the traditional DTW. The essence of PartialDTW is in its segmentation phase where specific domain knowledge must be used to acquire accurate partitions for each time series sequence before computing its similarity. The warping alignment between time series sequence pair is then restricted to only within the same segment. The experiment results demonstrate that our PartialDTW can improve the performance, both in terms of accuracy and computation time, by outperforming many well known classifiers. Moreover, since PartialDTW calculates DTW within individual segment, we can exploit parallel computing to run all DTW calculations for all segments to further increase the speedup.
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