Mathematical Morphology based gray scale Image Segmentation using improved watershed transform
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Abstract: Mathematical Morphology provides systematic approach to analyze geometric Characteristic of signal or images, has been applied to many application such as Edge Detection, Object segmentation, noise suppression. Image segmentation is one of the most important categories of image processing. The watersheds transformation for image segmentation using mathematical morphology is widely used. When we use watershed transform is image, there is a serious cause of over segmentation. In this paper the proposed system has been applied to overcome the over segmentation problem by using improved segmentation and efficient technique for image segmentation using mathematical morphology.
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Introduction: Mathematical Morphology [18] is an nonlinear branch of the signal processing field and concern the application of set theory concept to image analysis. Morphology refers to the study of shapes and structures from a general scientific perspective, filters or operators are nonlinear transformation [17, 18], which modify geometric feature of images. An image by reconstruction [21, 22] has become a powerful tool that enables us to eliminate undesirable features without necessarily affecting desirable one. The morphological image reconstruction – based algorithm used in this paper to obtain the result better than general opening reconstruction and avoid some of inconveniences.

1. Mathematical Morphology:

The morphology refers to the study of shapes and structure from a general scientific perspective, it can be interpreted as shapes study using mathematical set theory[11, 12].

Some of the silent points regarding the morphological approach are follows [10]:

- Morphology operations provides for systematic alteration of the geometric content of an image while maintaining the stability of the important geometric characteristics.
- There exists a well-developed morphological algebra that can be employed for representation and optimization.
- It is possible to express digital algorithms in terms of a very small class of primitive morphology operations.

Morphology algorithms developed till dates are basically categorized as follows:

- **Binary Morphology**: The theoretical fundamentals of binary mathematical morphology is set theory, those points in the sets are called the ‘foreground’ and those in the complement sets are called ‘background’.
- **Gray-scale Morphology**: Binary morphology is extended to the gray – scale and maximum and minimum function is defined for operations.

2. Image Segmentation and Watershed Transform:
Segmentation is the process of partitioning a digital into multiple segments of pixels, also known as super pixels. The result of image segmentation is a set of segments that collectively cover the entire image, or a set of contours extracted from the image (edge detection). Each of the pixels in a region are similar with respect to some characteristic or computed property, such as color, intensity, or texture. Adjacent regions are significantly different with respect to the same characteristic. Applications of image segmentation: Medical Imaging, Locate tumors and other pathologies, Measure tissue volumes, Computer-guided surgery, Locate objects in satellite images (roads, forests, etc.).

2.1. Watershed transformation:

The Watershed Transformation considers the gradient magnitude of an image as a topographic surface. Pixels having the highest gradient magnitude intensities (GMIs) correspond to watershed lines, which represent the region boundaries. Water placed on any pixel enclosed by a common watershed line flows downhill to a common local intensity minimum (LIM). Pixels draining to a common minimum form a catch basin, which represents a segment. The initial concept of the watersheds transformation as a morphological tool was introduced by H. Digabel and C. Lantuéjoul in [7]. Later, a joint work of C. Lantuéjoul and S. Beucher led to the ‘inversion’ of this original algorithm in order to extend it to the more general framework of grayscale images.

2.2. Over segmentation:

The watersheds transformation makes a number of regions as an output. For example, a human can clearly see a background with a woman in Figure 3.4. This is because humans are capable of understanding the ‘semantics’ of a given scene; however, this image has 2976 different regions after the watersheds transformation. This over segmentation problem comes mostly from the noise and quantization error.

2.3. Marker-Controlled Watershed Segmentation:

Separating touching objects in an image is one of the more difficult image processing operations. The watershed transform is often applied to this problem. The watershed transform finds catchment basins" and "watershed ridge lines" in an image by treating it as a surface where light pixels are high and dark pixels are low.

Segmentation using the watershed transform works better if you can identify, or "mark," foreground objects and background locations.

2.4. Creating Markers:

The marker-controlled watershed segmentation has been shown to be a robust and flexible method for segmentation of objects with closed contours, where the boundaries are expressed as ridges. The marker image used for watershed segmentation is a binary image consisting of either single marker points or larger marker regions, where each connected marker is placed inside an object of interest.

Each initial marker has a one-to-one relationship to a specific watershed region, thus the number of markers will be equal to the final number of watershed regions. After segmentation, the boundaries of the watershed regions are arranged on the desired ridges, thus separating each object from its neighbors. The markers can be manually or automatically selected, but high throughput experiments often employ automatically generated markers to save human time and resources.

2.5. Morphological Image Reconstruction:

Dilation-Based Gray-Scale Image Reconstruction

Let I,J be the two images defined on the same domain and J<=I. The reconstruction of I from J, denoted as Y_I^{(rec)}(J), is obtained by iterating elementary geodesic dilations of J under I until stability is reached.

\[
Y_I^{(rec)}(J) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \delta^{(n)}(J) \quad (2.1)
\]
where \( \delta^{(I)}(J) \) can be obtained by iterating an elementary geodesic dilation and the geodesic dilation is defined as

\[
\delta^{(I)}(J) = (J \ominus b) \cap I \quad (2.2)
\]

\( (b \) is the flat structuring element of size \( I \) and \( \ominus \) stands for point wise minimum).

Erosion-Based Gray-Scale Reconstruction

Let \( I, J \) be two gray-scale images defined on the same domain and \( J \leq I \). The reconstruction of \( I \) from \( J \), denoted as \( \phi^{(rec)}_I(J) \), is obtained by iterating elementary geodesic erosions of \( J \) above \( I \) until stability is reached,

\[
\phi^{(rec)}_I(J) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon^{(n)}(J) \quad (2.3)
\]

where \( \varepsilon^{(n)}(J) \) can be obtained by iterating \( n \) elementary geodesic dilation and the geodesic dilation is defined as

\[
\varepsilon^{(I)}(J) = (J \oplus b) \cup I \quad (2.4)
\]

\( (b \) is flat structuring element of size \( I \) and \( \oplus \) stands for point wise maximum).

Local minima consist of a small number of pixels or have a low contrast with respect to their neighbors. The procedure to eliminate local minima adopted is in [10], [11]. The morphological reconstruction transformation is well known in the binary case, where it simply extracts the connected components of an image, which are “marked” by another image. Extending it to gray-scale reconstruction, it can accomplish several tasks such as image filtering, domes, and basin extraction. In this paper, we used improvised image reconstruction algorithm

\[\text{3. Algorithm Proposed:-}\]

(i) Read the color image and convert it to gray-scale.

(ii) Develop gradient images using appropriate edge detection function.

(iii) Mark the foreground objects using morphological reconstruction (better than the opening image with a closing).

(iv) Calculating the regional maxima and minima to obtain the good forward markers.

(v) Superimpose the foreground marker image on the original image.

(vi) Clean the edges of the markers using edge reconstruction.

(vii) Compute the background markers.

(viii) Compute the watershed transform of the function.

\[\text{3.1. Implementation of watershed transform:-}\]

The developed segmentation method gives a resultant image, whose foreground and background markers are the objects we are trying to segment. The method is applicable to grayscale images. Color images were first converted into gray scale. Finding the gradient image using multi scale edge detector is shown in Figure.

(a) original images and (b) respective gradients.
3.2. Implementation of improved watershed transform:

(a) erosion-based reconstruction applied on gradient images.
(b) dilation-based reconstruction applied on top images.

Calculating the regional maxima of these reconstructed images is done to get smooth edge foreground objects.

Later, we superimposed these markers on the original images as shown in Figure

Superimpose the foreground markers, background markers, and segmented object boundaries on the original image. such that object boundaries, more visible. Also Another useful visualization technique is to display the label matrix as a color image, as shown in

Experimental Result: The proposed algorithm applied on the aerial images and high resolution satellite images respectively. The algorithm is helpful to segment the object that touches each other in images. The proposed algorithm result gave better
identification of desired objects than the standard algorithm.

(a) original images and (b) final color Image

Conclusion and future work:

This study shows that the proposed watershed by foreground marker is able to segment real images containing severe irregularities in better way than the standard watershed segmentation. The formulation is based on marker and simple morphology, which easily allows a regulation of watershed and is a flexible approach for further optimization parameters and also work on improvement to the watershed transform that enables the introduction of prior information in its previous probability calculations.
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